

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/567,392	Applicant(s) VAN HORCK, HENDRIKUS G.	
	Examiner Trang U. Tran	Art Unit 2622	

All Participants:

(1) Trang U. Tran.

(2) Edward Goodman.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2009

Status of Application: Amendment after non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

18-19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 18, line 1, the phrase "A program storage device tangibly embodying" has been changed to --A non-transitory program storage device embodying--.

In claim 19, line 1, the phrase "A program storage device tangibly embodying" has been changed to --A non-transitory program storage device embodying--, to place this application in condition for allowance..